



Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company To Revise Its Electric Marginal Costs, Revenue Allocation, And Rate Design.

Application No. 06-03-005

REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL SCOPING MEMO AND ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER RULING UPDATING ISSUES LIST, SCHEDULE, AND CATEGORIZATION

ANDREW L. NIVEN DEBORAH S. SHEFLER SHIRLEY A. WOO

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 973-2248

Fax: (415) 973-5520 Email: saw0@pge.com

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: October 19, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company To Revise Its Electric Marginal Costs, Revenue Allocation, And Rate Design.

Application No. 06-03-005

REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL SCOPING MEMO AND ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER RULING UPDATING ISSUES LIST, SCHEDULE, AND CATEGORIZATION

Pursuant to the schedule established in the August 22, 2007 Supplemental Scoping Memo and Assigned Commissioner's Ruling, PG&E hereby responds to the opening comments submitted by Southern California Edison (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E), the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA), the Building Owners and Managers Association (BOMA), the California Rice Millers (CRM), the California Large Energy Consumers Association (CLECA), the California Manufacturers and Technology Association (CMTA), Ice Energy, The Utility Reform Network (TURN), and the Western Power Traders Forum (WPTF).

Various parties have observed that many developments in the procurement market and the state's evolving electricity plans (e.g., new resource adequacy standards and planning reserve margin requirements; resource portfolio standards; and capacity market developments) make it increasingly likely that future real-time prices are likely to remain relatively low for all but a small number of hours each year. While this outlook should bode well for the stability of future California electricity prices for all customers, it also has policy implications in evaluating the market potential for dynamic pricing. Simply put, expectations should be modest for customer response to dynamic prices that are likely to remain relatively low for all but a small number of hours each year, lower than would be expected to elicit significant demand response. It also

means that the primary source of time variation for dynamic prices at levels sufficient to elicit significant demand response will likely come from the assignment of administratively-determined generation capacity cost adders to specific hours or groups of hours – either in a way such as is already used to set Critical Peak Pricing (CPP) price signals, or through generation capacity cost allocation methods that might be established in the currently pending Demand Response OIR (R.07-01-041).

I. Objectives of dynamic pricing and time-differentiated rates.

PG&E urges the Commission to adopt clearly defined and narrowly stated policy goals for this dynamic pricing investigation.

Nearly all parties join PG&E in urging that the development of improved cost-based price signals should be the primary objective of this proceeding. To the extent that improved cost-based price signals afford new opportunities for peak-period demand reductions, this will improve system reliability and should generally flatten the load curve over the long run. However, these developments should be recognized as the results of customer response to improved price signals rather than as goals to be achieved through specific pricing mechanisms that might go above or beyond cost-based pricing.

II. Rate options.

PG&E urges the Commission to develop new dynamic pricing options and demand response programs while preserving customer choice, and to focus attention on those rate classes, customer groups and end uses with the greatest potential for producing significant new sources of demand reductions.

PG&E is encouraged that nearly all parties who have offered comments agree that the Commission should preserve customer choice as it develops new dynamic pricing tariff options.

PG&E disagrees with the following comment by TURN: "An optional CPP rate structure could also be developed for the residential class ... via a linkage with Resource Adequacy policy ... LSEs could procure to a lower PRM for those customers who opt for a CPP tariff – perhaps

10% but in any event no lower than the 7% required to maintain system operating reserves."

(TURN at pp. 8-9.) In fact, there is no practical means by which a utility might procure different levels of planning reserves for different customers. When supplies are short, supplies are short for *all* customers. Aside from those served under interruptible or curtailable tariffs, there is no means of providing different customers with differing levels of service reliability.

PG&E also disagrees with the following observation by DRA: "It is well known that coastal customers currently subsidize inland customers with large air conditioning loads." (DRA at p. 9.) While a case might have been made for this conclusion when most of the state's residential electric tariffs had just two tiers (and when there were relatively modest price differences between those two tiers), it cannot be assumed true today. Given the steeply inverted five-tier rates that are now in effect and the likelihood that "inland customers with large air conditioning loads" are paying high upper-tier prices for significant fractions of their air conditioning usage, it would be difficult to draw the same cross-subsidy conclusion now.

III. Components of dynamic pricing tariffs.

PG&E argues that the scope of the pricing investigation undertaken here should be limited to the generation component of rates, and to rates for bundled service customers only. PG&E has also cautioned that visible forward market prices from the MRTU are not yet available, and that it may take some time after MRTU implementation begins to determine the suitability of MRTU prices for ratemaking purposes.

Most parties offering comments have agreed – either explicitly or implicitly – that the scope of this proceeding can reasonably be limited to the generation component of rates, as paid by bundled service customers only. To the extent certain parties have differed on this point, PG&E does not believe they have offered persuasive grounds for expanding the scope of the investigation. For example, BOMA conflates future *transmission congestion* prices that might be published by the CAISO with recovery of *transmission access* and *reliability services* costs, which are recovered through FERC-jurisdictional rate components. (BOMA at pp. 10-11.)

PG&E does not believe the CAISO plans to develop "hourly marginal cost estimates" appropriate for setting the transmission component of rates, and notes that such rates are FERC-jurisdictional rather than subject to CPUC ratemaking. Similarly, DRA recommends expanding the scope of this investigation to include further consideration of the *distribution* component of rates. (DRA at pp. 9-11.) PG&E believes such an expansion would add unnecessary complication to the current investigation. The "distribution" component of rates is a shorthand for the portion of rates that includes all distribution, customer service, and metering and billing costs. The share of these costs that can reasonably be treated as time-varying is small in comparison to the share of generation costs that can be so treated. Thus, it is reasonable to focus most attention on generation rates in this dynamic pricing investigation.

IV. Recovering the revenue requirement.

PG&E observes that costs and revenues should be reasonably well correlated if well-designed and cost-based dynamic tariffs are adopted. This means that the revenue under-collections that might result from lower than expected sales should be partially offset by lower procurement costs relative to the original forecast used to set rates (and conversely, if electricity usage is higher than expected).

As PG&E observed in its initial comments, customer response to dynamic tariffs should reduce both revenues and procurement costs, which would complicate any effort to establish class-specific balancing accounts. Nonetheless, several parties have offered comments that might be interpreted as requiring class-specific or even schedule-specific revenue reconciliation (*e.g.*, CLECA at p. 19 and CMTA at pp. 10-11). Such an approach would require developing new methods for tracking and attributing both reduced revenues and reduced procurement costs by rate class, and PG&E believes such an approach to be unnecessary as long as the underlying tariffs reasonably accord with costs. However, where specific and explicit incentives (such as participation credits) are offered to encourage additional program enrollment, PG&E agrees that it is reasonable approximately to maintain recovery of the costs of such incentives within each

class. Aside from this limited exception, PG&E believes it most reasonable to establish cost-based dynamic tariffs in such a way that balancing account reconciliation can continue to be accomplished at the system level rather than by rate class or rate schedule.

V. Hedging.

PG&E notes that a system of voluntary participation credits (paid for by small premiums in the rates paid by non-participants) can serve the same practical purpose as explicit hedge premiums applied to non-participants' rates. PG&E cautions that the concept of hedge premiums may be used differently in different contexts, and PG&E is not convinced that a solid foundation has yet been established for applying this concept to develop dynamic pricing options.

PG&E will look to the work of the Demand Response Research Center (DRRC) and further discussion at the November workshops for additional information on this subject.

VI. Sources of triggers and prices for dynamic prices.

PG&E recommends that most dynamic price triggers and demand response program operations should be activated and communicated to customers by the utility, acting in close consultation with the CAISO. Development of new RTP tariffs should be deferred until MRTU implementation is completed and at least 12 - 18 months of MRTU data is available.

There appears to be a broad consensus that the utilities should continue to manage demand response program operations and customer communications, subject to operating guidelines developed in consultation with the CAISO. Similarly, nearly all parties are looking to the MRTU process as a future source for RTP market price information. As PG&E previously cautioned, RTP tariffs will need to be designed to collect the same generation revenue requirement as do the less time-differentiated tariffs. Thus, new methods will need to be developed to reconcile MRTU price information with each utility's overall procurement costs.

VII. Residential rate issues.

PG&E observes that TOU rates are already available, CPP rate options are in the process of being made available to all residential customers, and there are plans to request authorization soon for a Peak Time Rebate program. These programs can be successfully implemented for residential customers even while AB1X rate protections remain in place.

PG&E agrees broadly with both TURN and DRA that demand response can be elicited from residential customers under current rate structures, primarily through TOU and CPP rate options (both of which can be supported by concurrent participation in A/C cycling and/or smart thermostat programs) and as augmented in the near future by a Peak Time Rebate program. However, both TURN and DRA have referred to concepts from a TOU/CPP rate proposal that DRA first presented in PG&E's AMI application (A.05-06-028), which would have required a method for attributing tiered usage amounts to specific TOU and CPP rate periods. (TURN at p. 8; DRA at p.24.) The full record from A.05-06-028 indicates that such an approach would likely be impracticable, given that the residential baseline quantities are ordinarily assigned to usage for an entire month and have never been established or used for specific TOU periods.

VIII. Critical Peak Pricing.

PG&E's current CPP rate program for large customers has proved successful, and PG&E is hopeful of achieving similar success with its new CPP rates for smaller customers.

PG&E expects to continue offering its current CPP program for large customers for the foreseeable future. The CPP program for residential and smaller commercial customers will begin next summer, with broad availability in subsequent years as larger numbers of the new AMI meters are deployed.

IX. Relationship to reliability-oriented and other demand response programs.

PG&E believes there are important roles to be played by both reliability-oriented programs and dynamic pricing options and tariffs. As a general rule, much more certainty can be attached to the load drop available from reliability-oriented programs, while dynamic pricing options should afford customers additional advance notice and more flexibility in day-to-day operations.

There is general agreement that pricing programs will be most effective for the greatest number of customers if they are based on day-ahead price signals. As also noted in PG&E's opening comments, this means there will be an ongoing need for reliability-oriented demand response programs that are based on same-day load curtailment orders or same-day load control activation.

X. Timing of tariff development and roll-out.

Many of PG&E's existing dynamic pricing options and tariff programs can be further refined or developed during PG&E's current GRC cycle. However, action on developing RTP tariffs should be deferred to PG&E's 2011 GRC.

As PG&E previously stated, a variety of dynamic pricing tariff choices and demand response programs are already available, or are being made available across all customer classes. Further action on developing RTP tariffs should be deferred to PG&E's 2011 GRC.

XI. Customer Education.

The primary objectives for PG&E's customer education and marketing efforts are to actively help customers better understand their options, the changes taking place, and their potential for participation in dynamic pricing so that customers can make informed choices and implement those options to yield the overall best result for their individual circumstances.

PG&E looks forward to continuing to provide its customers with important information about rate options and program choices, through a variety of program channels, which already include on-site audits for large customers, as requested by CMTA at page 15 of its comments. PG&E does not believe that specific new findings on customer education or marketing are needed for purposes of this proceeding.

XII. Enabling Technology.

PG&E supports helping customers choose appropriate enabling technology for automated demand response participation, and will continue to monitor

developments in the emerging market for such technologies.

PG&E will continue to monitor the evolving market for demand response support

technologies and will provide its customers with the best available information about such

technology choices. PG&E does not believe that specific new investigation of or findings on

enabling technology are needed for purposes of this proceeding.

CONCLUSION

PG&E looks forward to continuing the dialogue on the above areas and the other issues

from the Supplemental Scoping Memo and Assigned Commissioner Ruling at the November 5

and 6, 2007 workshop.

Respectfully Submitted,

ANDREW L. NIVEN DEBORAH S. SHEFLER

SHIRLEY A. WOO

By:
SHIRLEY A. WOO

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 Beale Street

San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 973-2248

Facsimile: (415) 973-5520 Internet: saw0@pge.com

Attorneys for

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: October 19, 2007

8

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause; and that my business address is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Law Department, PO Box 7442, San Francisco, CA 94120.

On the 19th day of October, 2007, I served a true copy of:

REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL SCOPING MEMO AND ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER RULING UPDATING ISSUES LIST, SCHEDULE, AND CATEGORIZATION

By e-mail to all parties to A.06-03-005.

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 19th day of October, 2007.

/S/
LINDA S. DANNEWITZ

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT
CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

517-B POTRERO AVE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94110 Email: cem@newsdata.com Status: INFORMATION

CASE ADMINISTRATION

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

LAW DEPARTMENT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
Email: Case.Admin@sce.com
Status: INFORMATION

Nilgun Atamturk

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5303 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: nil@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

R. THOMAS BEACH PRINCIPAL CROSSBORDER ENERGY

2560 NINTH ST, STE 213A BERKELEY CA 94710-2557 FOR: Crossborder Energy

Email: tomb@crossborderenergy.com

Status: PARTY

SCOTT BLAISING ATTORNEY **BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.**

915 L ST, STE 1420 SACRAMENTO CA 95814 Email: blaising@braunlegal.com Status: INFORMATION

STEVEN BRAITHWAIT
CA ENERGY CONSULTING

4610 UNIVERSITY AVE. STE 700

MADISON WI 53705

Email: sdbraithwait@caenergy.com

Status: INFORMATION

THERESA BURKE REGULATORY ANALYSTI

SAN FRANCISCO PUC 1155 MARKET ST. 4TH FLR

SAN FRANCISO CA 94103 Email: tburke@sfwater.org Status: INFORMATION MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1814 FRANKLIN ST, STE 720 OAKLAND CA 94612

Email: mrw@mrwassoc.com Status: INFORMATION

Paul Angelopulo

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

LEGAL DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5031 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

Email: pfa@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: PARTY

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.

44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE MENDOCINO CA 95460

Email: brbarkovich@earthlink.net

Status: INFORMATION

Robert Benjamin

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY RESOURCES BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: bkb@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

WILLIAM H. BOOTH ATTORNEY
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH

1500 NEWELL AVE, 5TH FLR WALNUT CREEK CA 94596

FOR: CA Large Energy Consumers Association

Email: wbooth@booth-law.com

Status: PARTY

MAURICE BRUBAKER BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES

PO BOX 412000

1215 FERN RIDGE PARKWAY, STE 208

ST. LOUIS MO 63141

Email: mbrubaker@consultbai.com

Status: INFORMATION

DAVID J. BYERS, ESQ. ATTORNEY MCCRACKEN, BYERS & HAESLOOP, LLP

1920 LESLIE ST

SAN MATEO CA 94403

FOR: California City County Street Light Association (CAL-

SLA)

Email: dbyers@landuselaw.com

Status: PARTY

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

Andrew Campbell

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5203 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: agc@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

STEPHEN L. CASNER 1454 REVELSTOKE WAY SUNNYVALE CA 94087

Email: casner@packetdesign.com

Status: INFORMATION

KATHLEEN H. CORDOVA SDG&E-SOCALGAS

8300 CENTURY PARK CT - CP31-E SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530

Email: KCordova@semprautilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL B. DAY ATTORNEY

GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP

505 SANSOME ST, STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

FOR: Solar Alliance

Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

Status: PARTY

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS ATTORNEY DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

21700 OXNARD ST, STE 1030 WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367-8102

FOR: Direct Access Customer Coalition/Western Power

Trading Forum

Email: douglass@energyattorney.com

Status: PARTY

CENTRAL FILES

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

101 ASH ST, CP31E SAN DIEGO CA 92101

Email: CentralFiles@semprautilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

BRUCE FOSTER VICE PRESIDENT

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

601 VAN NESS AVE, STE. 2040 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 Email: bruce.foster@sce.com Status: INFORMATION DAN L. CARROLL **DOWNEY BRAND LLP**

555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLR SACRAMENTO CA 95814

Email: dcarroll@downeybrand.com

Status: INFORMATION

DANIEL COOLEY ATTORNEY

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, MAIL CODE B30A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: dfc2@pge.com Status: PARTY

KAY DAVOODI ACQ-UTILITY RATES AND STUDIES

OFFICE

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND HQ

1322 PATTERSON AVE., SE - BLDG 33 WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20374-5018

Email: khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil

Status: INFORMATION

RALPH E. DENNIS DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS

FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES

CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISION 9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE, STE 2000

LOUISVILLE KY 40223

Email: ralph.dennis@constellation.com

Status: INFORMATION

AHMAD FARUQUI
THE BRATTLE GROUP

353 SACRAMENTO ST, STE 1140 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 Email: ahmad.faruqui@brattle.com

Status: INFORMATION

KELLY M. FOLEY ATTORNEY

SEMPRA ENERGY

101 ASH ST, HQ12

SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017

FOR: San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Email: kfoley@sempra.com

Status: PARTY

David K. Fukutome

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5042 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: dkf@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

Jack Fulcher

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

RATEMAKING BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: jef@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

DAN GEIS

THE DOLPHIN GROUP

925 L ST, STE 800 SACRAMENTO CA 95814 Email: dgeis@dolphingroup.org Status: INFORMATION

HAYLEY GOODSON ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

711 VAN NESS AVE, STE 350 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 FOR: The Utility Reform Network

Email: hayley@turn.org

Status: PARTY

LYNN HAUG

ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP

2015 H ST

SACRAMENTO CA 95816

FOR: California Department of General Services/Energy Policy Advisory Committee, East Bay Municipal Utili

Email: Imh@eslawfirm.com

Status: PARTY

Gregory Heiden

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

LEGAL DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5039 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: gxh@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: PARTY

ROSS C. HEMPHILL

FREEMAN SULLIVAN & CO.

101 MONTGOMERY ST., 15TH FLR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

Email: rosshemphill@fscgroup.com

Status: INFORMATION

EVELYN KAHL ATTORNEY ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY ST, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

FOR: Energy Producers & Users Coalition

Email: ek@a-klaw.com Status: PARTY NORMAN J. FURUTA ATTORNEY FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

1455 MARKET ST., STE 1744 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103-1399 FOR: Federal Executive Agencies Email: norman.furuta@navy.mil

Status: PARTY

STEPHEN GEORGE

FREEMAN SULLIVAN & CO.

101 MONTGOMERY ST., 15TH FLR SAN FRANCISC CA 94104

Email: stephengeorge@fscgroup.com

Status: INFORMATION

PETER HANSCHEN ATTORNEY

MORRISON & FOERSTER

101 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD WALNUT CREEK CA 94596

FOR: Agricultural Energy Consumers Association

Email: phanschen@mofo.com

Status: PARTY

MARCEL HAWIGER ATTORNEY

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

711 VAN NESS AVE, STE 350 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 FOR: The Utility Reform Network

Email: marcel@turn.org

Status: PARTY

RON HELGENS

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

MAIL CODE B10A 77 BEALE ST

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 Email: rrh3@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

WENDY L. ILLINGWORTH ECONOMIC INSIGHTS

320 FEATHER LANE SANTA CRUZ CA 95060

Email: wendy@econinsights.com

Status: INFORMATION

Bruce Kaneshiro

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY RESOURCES BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: bsk@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

SUE KATELEY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSN

PO BOX 782

RIO VISTA CA 94571 Email: info@calseia.org Status: INFORMATION

CAROLYN KEHREIN

ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES

1505 DUNLAP COURT DIXON CA 95620-4208 FOR: Energy Users Forum Email: cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

Status: PARTY

Dexter E. Khoury

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ELECTRICITY RESOURCES & PRICING BRANCH

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4209 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: bsl@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

GREGORY KLATT ATTORNEY

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

21700 OXNARD ST, NO.1030 WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

FOR: Wal-Mart/JC Penney/Alliance for Retail Energy

Markets and Direct Access Customer Coalition

Email: klatt@energyattorney.com

Status: PARTY

ROGER LEVY

LEVY AND ASSOCIATES

2805 HUNTINGTON ROAD SACRAMENTO CA 95864 Email: rogerl47@aol.com Status: INFORMATION

RONALD LIEBERT ATTORNEY

CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE SACRAMENTO CA 95833

FOR: California Farm Bureau Federation

Email: rliebert@cfbf.com

Status: PARTY

THOMAS J. MACBRIDE, JR. ATTORNEY

GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP

505 SANSOME ST, STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

FOR: The Building Owners and Managers Associations of

San Francisco and California

Email: tmacbride@goodinmacbride.com

Status: PARTY

RANDALL W. KEEN ATTORNEY
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD LOS ANGELES CA 90064

FOR: Indicated Commercial Parties (ICP)

Email: rkeen@manatt.com

Status: PARTY

PAUL KERKORIAN

UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT, LLC

6475 N PALM AVE., STE. 105

FRESNO CA 93704

FOR: California Rice Millers, ADM Rice, Inc. Email: pk@utilitycostmanagement.com

Status: PARTY

ANN H. KIM ATTORNEY

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PO BOX 7442, MAIL CODE B30A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120-7442

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: ahk4@pge.com Status: PARTY

Donald J. Lafrenz

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

RATEMAKING BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: dlf@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

DONALD C. LIDDELL ATTORNEY

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

2928 2ND AVE

SAN DIEGO CA 92103

Email: liddell@energyattorney.com

Status: INFORMATION

KAREN LINDH

LINDH & ASSOCIATES

7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB 119

ANTELOPE CA 95843 Email: karen@klindh.com Status: INFORMATION

CAROL MANSON

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO. CP32D

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT

SAN DIEGO CA 92123

Email: CManson@semprautilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

BILL MARCUS JBS ENERGY 311 D ST, STE. A

WEST SACRAMENTO CA 95605 Email: bill@jbsenergy.com Status: INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. MAYER

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

PO BOX 4060

MODESTO CA 95352-4060 Email: chrism@mid.org Status: INFORMATION

KEITH R. MCCREA ATTORNEY SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLP

1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW WASHINGTON DC 20004-2415

FOR: California Manufacturers & Technology Assn.

Email: keith.mccrea@sablaw.com

Status: PARTY

GAIL A. MCNULTY ASSOCIATE GOVERNMENTAL PROGRAM ANALYST

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, RM 364 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

FOR: Southern California Edison Company

 ${\bf Email: francis.mcnulty@sce.com}$

Status: PARTY

STEPHEN A.S. MORRISON ATTORNEY CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, RM 234

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682 FOR: City and County of San Francisco Email: stephen.morrison@sfgov.org

Status: PARTY

ROB NEENAN

CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF FOOD PROCESSORS

1755 CREEKSIDE OAKS DRIVE, STE 250

SACRAMENTO CA 95833

FOR: California League of Food Processors

Email: rob@clfp.com Status: PARTY

LARRY NIXON

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, MC B9A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 Email: Irn3@pge.com Status: INFORMATION MARK S. MARTINEZ

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON

6060 IRWINDALE AVE., STE J

IRWINDALE CA 91702

Email: mark.s.martinez@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

RICHARD MCCANN, PH.D

M. CUBED

2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, STE 3

DAVIS CA 95616

Email: rmccann@umich.edu Status: INFORMATION

FRANCIS MCNULTY ATTORNEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD CA 91770

Email: francis.mcnulty@sce.com

Status: PARTY

SAMARA MINDEL REGULATORY AFFAIRS ANALYST

FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES

9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE, STE 2000

LOUISVILLE KY 40223

Email: smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com

Status: INFORMATION

April Mulqueen

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF STRATEGIC PLANNING 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5119 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: am4@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

LES NELSON

WESTERN RENEWABLES GROUP

30012 AVENTURA, STE A

RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA CA 92688 Email: Inelson@westernrenewables.com

Status: PARTY

EDWARD G. POOLE ATTORNEY

ANDERSON & POOLE

601 CALIFORNIA ST, STE 1300 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108-2818

FOR: Western Manufactured Housing Community Assoc.

Email: epoole@adplaw.com

Status: PARTY

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007 Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006 ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

MARICRUZ PRADO ATTORNEY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD CA 91770

Email: maricruz.prado@sce.com

Status: PARTY

Felix Robles

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

RATEMAKING BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: fvr@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

J. P. ROSS VICE PRESIDENT STRATEGIC RELATIONS

SUNGEVITY

1625 SHATTUCK AVE., STE 21-

BERKELEY CA 94709

Email: jpross@sungevity.com

Status: PARTY

CHARMIN ROUNDTREE-BAAQEE

EAST BAY MUD 375 11TH ST

OAKLAND CA 94607

FOR: East Bay MUD Email: cbaaqee@ebmud.com

Status: PARTY

GAYATRI M. SCHILBERG JBS ENERGY, INC.

311 D ST. STE A

WEST SACRAMENTO CA 95605 FOR: The Utility Reform Network Email: gayatri@jbsenergy.com

Status: PARTY

DEBORAH S. SHEFLER

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST., B30A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: dss8@pge.com

Status: PARTY

JENNIFER SHIGEKAWA ATTORNEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD CA 91770

Email: Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

BILL F. ROBERTS

ECONOMIC SCIENCES CORPORATION

1516 LEROY AVE BERKELEY CA 94708

FOR: Building Owners and Managers Associations

Email: bill@econsci.com

Status: PARTY

LAURA ROOKE SR. PROJECT MANAGER

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

121 SW SALMON ST., PORTLAND OR 97204 Email: laura.rooke@pgn.com

Status: INFORMATION

JAMES ROSS

RCS, INC.

500 CHESTERFIELD CENTER, STE 320

CHESTERFIELD MO 63017

FOR: Cogeneration Association of California

Email: jimross@r-c-s-inc.com

Status: PARTY

STACIE SCHAFFER ATTORNEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE, RM 390

ROSEMEAD CA 91770

FOR: Southern California Edison Company

Email: stacie.schaffer@sce.com

Status: PARTY

REED V. SCHMIDT

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES

1889 ALCATRAZ AVE

BERKELEY CA 94703-2714

FOR: California City-County Street Light Association

Email: rschmidt@bartlewells.com

Status: PARTY

NORA SHERIFF ATTORNEY

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY ST, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

Email: nes@a-klaw.com Status: INFORMATION

Suh-Young Shin

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5205 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: shn@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN

ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES

646 EAST THIRD AVE DURANGO CO 81301

Email: kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com

Status: INFORMATION

JAMES D. SQUERI ATTORNEY

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY

505 SANSOME ST, STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

FOR: California Retailers Association

Email: jsqueri@gmssr.com

Status: PARTY

KAREN TERRANOVA

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY ST, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 Email: filings@a-klaw.com Status: INFORMATION

PATRICIA THOMPSON

SUMMIT BLUE CONSULTING

2920 CAMINO DIABLO, STE 210 WALNUT CREEK CA 94597

Email: pthompson@summitblue.com

Status: INFORMATION

GREG TROPSA PRESIDENT

ICE ENERGY, INC.

9351 EASTMAN PARK DRIVE, UNIT B

WINDSOR CO 80550 FOR: Ice Energy, Inc.

Email: gtropsa@ice-energy.com

Status: PARTY

Rebecca Tsai-Wei Lee

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ELECTRICITY RESOURCES & PRICING BRANCH

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4209 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: wtr@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

JOY A. WARREN ATTORNEY

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

1231 11TH ST

MODESTO CA 95354

FOR: Modesto Irrigation District

Email: joyw@mid.org Status: PARTY JEANNE M. SOLE DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, RM. 234

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 Email: jeanne.sole@sfgov.org Status: INFORMATION

SEEMA SRINIVASAN ATTORNEY

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY ST, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

FOR: Cogeneration Association of California

Email: sls@a-klaw.com

Status: PARTY

RENE THOMAS

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, BO10A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: rat9@pge.com Status: PARTY

ANGELA TORR

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, RM. 1058, B10A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 Email: act6@pge.com

Status: INFORMATION

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE ATTORNEY DAY CARTER & MURPHY, LLP

3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, STE 205

SACRAMENTO CA 95864

FOR: Modesto/Merced Irrigation

Email: atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

Status: PARTY

Christopher R Villarreal

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF STRATEGIC PLANNING 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5119 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: crv@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

RON WETHERALL ELECTRICITY ANALYSIS OFFICE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 9TH ST MS 20

SACRAMENTO CA 96814-5512

FOR: CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Email: rwethera@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007

Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006

ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Total number of addressees: 102

GREGGORY L. WHEATLAND ATTORNEY **ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP** 2015 H ST

SACRAMENTO CA 95814 FOR: Vote Solar Initiative Email: glw@eslawfirm.com

Status: PARTY

SHIRLEY A. WOO ATTORNEY
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST, MC B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
Email: SAW0@pge.com

Status: PARTY

JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN ATTORNEY
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP

505 SANSOME ST, STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

FOR: Solar Alliance

Email: jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com

Status: PARTY

RUSSELL G. WORDEN
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD CA 91770

Email: russell.worden@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

Downloaded October 19, 2007, last updated on October 18, 2007 Commissioner Assigned: Rachelle B. Chong on March 16, 2006; ALJ Assigned: David K. Fukutome on March 16, 2006

CPUC DOCKET NO. A0603005

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company To Revise Its Electric Marginal Costs, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design.

(U 39 M)

Application 06-03-005 (Filed March 2, 2006)

cem@newsdata.com;mrw@mrwassoc.com;Case.Admin@sce.com;pfa@cpuc.ca.gov;nil@cpuc.ca.gov;brbarkovich@earthlink.net;tomb@crossborderenergy.com;bkb@cpuc.ca.gov;blaising@braunlegal.com;wbooth@booth-

law.com;sdbraithwait@caenergy.com;mbrubaker@consultbai.com;tburke@sfwater.org;dbyers@landuselaw.com;agc@cpuc.ca.gov;dcarroll@downeybrand.com;casner@packetdesign.com;dfc2 @pge.com;KCordova@semprautilities.com;khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil;mday@goodinmacbride.com;ralph.dennis@constellation.com;douglass@energyattorney.com;ahmad.faruqui@brattle.com;CentralFiles@semprautilities.com;kfoley@sempra.com;bruce.foster@sce.com;dkf@cpuc.ca.gov;jef@cpuc.ca.gov;norman.furuta@navy.mil;dgeis@dolphingroup.org;stephengeorge@fscgroup.com;hayley@turn.org;phanschen@mofo.com;lmh@eslawfirm.com;marcel@turn.org;gxh@cpuc.ca.gov;rrh3@pge.com;rosshemphill@fscgroup.com;wendy@econinsights.com;ek@a-

klaw.com;bsk@cpuc.ca.gov;info@calseia.org;rkeen@manatt.com;cmkehrein@ems-ca.com;pk@utilitycostmanagement.com;bsl@cpuc.ca.gov;ahk4@pge.com;klatt@energyattorney.com;dlf@cpuc.ca.gov;rogerl47@aol.com;liddell@energyattorney.com;rliebert@cfbf.com;karen@klindh.com;tmacbride@goodinmacbride.com;CManson@semprautilities.com;bill@jbsenergy.com;mark.s.martinez@sce.com;chrism@mid.org;rmccann@umich.edu;keith.mccrea@sablaw.com;francis.mcnulty@sce.com;smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com;stephen.morrison@sfgov.org;am4@cpuc.ca.gov;rob@clfp.com;lnelson@westernrenewables.com;lrn3@pge.com;epoole@adplaw.com;maricruz.prado@sce.com;bill@econsci.com;fvr@cpuc.ca.gov;laura.rooke@pgn.com;jpross@sungevity.com;jimross@r-c-s-

inc.com;cbaaqee@ebmud.com;stacie.schaffer@sce.com;gayatri@jbsenergy.com;rschmidt@bartlewells.com;dss8@pge.com;nes@a-

klaw.com;Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com;shn@cpuc.ca.gov;kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com;jeanne.sole@sfgov.org;jsqueri@gmssr.com;sls@a-klaw.com;filings@a-klaw.com;rat9@pge.com;pthompson@summitblue.com;act6@pge.com;gtropsa@ice-energy.com;atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com;wtr@cpuc.ca.gov;crv@cpuc.ca.gov;joyw@mid.org;rwethera@energy.state.ca.us;glw@eslawfirm.com;jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com;SAW0@pge.com;russell.worden@sce.com